Existing Connections	387
Potential Buildout of District + Development (46)	320

Estimated Cash Available from District

\$500,000

Third-Party Lease Method	Well 3	\$	1,670,000	,	\$24 per month (468 connections)	3.8% for 20 years	DEQ Issue with Lease? Long-term Rate Increase Can start immediately after hearing As other splits come on- \$24 goes down
	Well 1 Upsize Transmission	\$ \$	-	Cash + Developer Cash	\$665,000 needed from Developer (Based on District contributing \$500,000)		

	Well 3 Well 1 Upsize Transmission	\$ \$ \$	1,670,000 833,000 332,000		Existing Share - \$1.367 million \$14-21 per month (387 connections) Growth - \$1.47 million \$27 per month (320 connections)	2.5% for 20 years	Is 320 connections too much for growth? (Impacts asses Splits would be expensive Growth paying for growth
--	---	----------------	---------------------------------	--	--	-------------------	---

_							
-		Well 3	\$ 1,670,000		Existing Share - \$1.367 million		Is 320 connections too much for growth?
ouo	F (a	🕡 Well 1 Upsize 🔰 💲 833,000 Revenue	Revenue Bond	\$14-21 per month		Revenue Bond more straight-forward for Base Option	
e B	tevenue B Methoo (for Base	Transmission	\$ 332,000		(387 connections)	2.5% for 20 years	LID Process still needed for growth portion
enu				Voluntary LID	Growth - \$1.47 million		Splits would be expensive
ev (\$27 per month		Growth paying for growth
Ľ.				(320 connections)		Could include "buffer number" of connections in Base/Re	

		-					
	Well 3	\$	1,670,000		\$35 per month		Repaid through rates (O&M)
lle	Well 1 Upsize	\$	833,000		(387 connections)		Potentially include annexed properties
Bol	Transmission	\$	332,000		*includes \$500,000 cash offset		
nue d (f				Revenue Bond		2.5% for 20 years	
ver tho					\$29 per month		
Ae Me					(468 connections)		
					*includes \$500,000 cash offset		

